Prediction of MCI to AD conversion, via MRI, CSF biomarkers, pattern classification
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Abstract

MRI patterns were examined together with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers in serial scans of ADNI participants with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). The SPARE-AD score, summarizing brain atrophy patterns, was tested as predictor of short-term conversion to AD. MCI individuals that converted to AD (MCI-C) had mostly positive baseline SPARE-AD and atrophy in temporal lobe grey (GM) and white (WM) matter, posterior cingulate/precuneous, insula. MCI-C had mostly AD-like baseline CSF biomarkers. MCI non-converters (MCI-NC) had mixed baseline SPARE-AD and CSF values, suggesting that some MCI-NC subjects may later convert. Those MCI-NC with most negative baseline SPARE-AD scores (normal brain structure) had significantly higher baseline MMSE scores (28.67) than others, and relatively low annual rate of MMSE decrease (−0.25). MCI-NC with mid-level baseline SPARE-AD displayed faster annual rates of SPARE-AD increase (indicating progressing atrophy). SPARE-AD and CSF combination improved prediction over individual values. In summary, both SPARE-AD and CSF biomarkers showed high baseline sensitivity, however, many MCI-NC had abnormal baseline SPARE-AD and CSF biomarkers. Longer follow-up will elucidate the specificity of baseline measurements.
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Introduction

The incidence of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) doubles every 5 years after the age of 65, rendering the disease the major cause for dementia as well as a very important health and socioeconomic issue, particularly in view of increasing life expectancy (Bain et al., 2008; Hebert et al., 2001). Although most currently approved treatments are symptomatic and don’t directly slow AD pathology progression, it is anticipated that new disease modifying treatments will be available in the near future. It is also expected that treatment decisions will greatly benefit from diagnostic and prognostic tools that identify individuals likely to progress to dementia sooner. This is especially important in individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), who present a conversion rate of approximately 15% per year.

Two promising, and potentially complementary biomarkers of early AD are structural changes measured by MRI, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) concentrations of Aβ42, a marker that tends to correlate inversely with amyloid plaque deposition in the brain, and tau protein, a marker of neuronal injury that correlates with neurofibrillary tangles. A number of studies have reported relatively reduced brain volumes in the hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, cingulate, and other brain regions in both MCI and AD patients (C. R. Jack et al., 2008; Chetelat et al., 2002; Convit et al., 2000; De Leon et al., 2006; Dickerson et al., 2001; Fox and Schott, 2004; Jack et al., 1999; Karas et al., 2004; Kaye et al., 1997; Killiany et al., 2000; Pennanen et al., 2005; Risacher et al., 2009; Stoub et al., 2005; Thompson et al., 2007; Visser et al., 2002). Studies using CSF biomarkers have also shown the promise of CSF tau and Aβ42 measures as diagnostic tests for AD as well as potential predictors of risk for developing AD in normal individuals and those with MCI (Hampel et al., 2010; Hampel et al., 2010, in press; Schuff et al., 2009; Shaw et al., 2009).

The spatial patterns of brain atrophy in MCI and AD are complex and highly variable, depend on the stage of the disease, and are concurrent with structural changes occurring with normal aging not necessarily being associated with clinical progression (Driscoll et al., 2009; Resnick et al., 2003). Advanced pattern analysis and classification methods have been found in recent years to be promising tools for capturing such complex spatial patterns of brain structure (Davatzikos et al., 2009; Duchesne et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2008b; Gerardin et al., 2009; Hinrichs et al., 2009; Kloppel et al., 2008; Lao et al., 2004; McEvoy et al., 2009; Vemuri et al., 2009). Importantly, these methods have begun to provide tests of high sensitivity and specificity on an individual patient basis, in addition to characterizing group differences, hence they can potentially be used as diagnostic and prognostic tools. Herein, we use a marker termed SPARE-AD, which has been found in previous studies to be a good predictor of MCI to AD conversion (Misra et al., 2009), but also of conversion from normal cognition to MCI in healthy elderly individuals (Davatzikos et al., 2009). This marker was also found to be a good differential diagnostic marker between AD and FTD (Davatzikos et al., 2008). As a primary goal in this study, we investigate the SPARE-AD individually, and in combination with CSF biomarkers, aiming to utilize information from baseline measurements in order to predict MCI individuals likely to convert to AD in a relatively short period (the average follow-up period in this study was 12 months). The secondary goal of this study is to measure the spatial pattern of brain atrophy, as well as its longitudinal change, in MCI converters (MCI-C) and in MCI non-converters (MCI-NC) in the ADNI cohort, and to evaluate differences between these two groups. The hypothesis was that pattern analysis and classification techniques applied to images of the regional distribution of brain tissues, in conjunction with CSF biomarkers, would allow us to predict future conversion from MCI to AD.
METHODS

Participants
ADNI participants of this study include 239 MCI patients, whose pre-processed baseline and follow-up exams we had downloaded from the ADNI web site by April 2009 (see below) and were available in our database. Data from the MCI patients were followed up for an average period of approximately 12 months with a standard deviation of 6 months (range: 6–36 months). According to their CDR scores during the follow-up period, MCI subjects were divided into two sub-groups: converters (MCI-C), whose diagnosis was MCI at baseline and their global CDR score changed from CDR=0.5 to CDR=1, and non-converters, whose global CDR score remained stable. For this study, we had considered 69 MCI-C; and 170 MCI-NC. We also used a previously reported high-dimensional pattern classifier that determines the SPARE-AD score (Fan et al., 2008a), which had been trained on data from 63 CN individuals and 54 AD patients, all ADNI participants. Table 1 provides more details about the sample.

MRI Acquisition
The datasets included standard T1-weighted images obtained using volumetric 3D MPRAGE or equivalent protocols with slightly varying resolutions. For this study only those scans were considered which had gone through certain correction methods such as gradwarp, B1 calibration, N3 correction, and (in-house) skull-stripping. Detailed information about MR acquisition procedures is available at ADNI website (http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/) and in (Clifford R. Jack et al., 2008).

Collection and analysis of CSF biomarkers
Baseline CSF samples were obtained in the morning following an overnight fast from ADNI subjects enrolled at 56 participating centers at the time the subjects entered ADNI. Their demographic, clinical and APO genotyping results are comparable to that in the full ADNI patient population. Lumbar puncture was performed with a 20-gauge or 24-gauge spinal needle as described in the ADNI procedures manual (http://www.adni-info.org/ADNIScienceCenter/Procedures/LumbarPunctures.aspx) and in Shaw et al (2009). Written informed consent was obtained for participation in these studies, as approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at each participating center. A 1–42, total tau (t-tau) and tau phosphorylated at residue 181 (p-tau181p) were measured in each of the 416 CSF ADNI baseline aliquots using the multiplex xMAP Luminex platform (Luminex Corp, Austin, TX) with Innogenetics (INNO-BIA AlzBio3, Ghent, Belgium; for research use only reagents) immunoassay kit-based reagents as described by (Shaw et al., 2009). Prior to performing these analyses of the ADNI CSF samples in the UPenn ADNI Biomarker Core laboratory, an inter-laboratory study was conducted in order to qualify the performance conditions, including all major variables that can affect the test results, for the immunoassay reagents and analytical platform. The findings from these studies, provided the basis for achieving day-to-day reproducibility for the 3 biomarkers of <10% variation for CSF pool samples and <7% for aqueous quality controls. Only subjects with a valid test result for all three biomarkers are included in this study, as described in Shaw et al. (2009). The diagnostic thresholds for each of the CSF biomarkers were established using premortem CSF samples from ADNI-independent patients who were followed to autopsy to establish a postmortem diagnosis of AD and age matched living cognitively normal subjects (Shaw et al., 2009).
Image analysis

The images were processed with previously used and published pipeline (Goldszal et al., 1998). The first step was rigid alignment to the ac-pc plane, followed by semi-automated removal of skull and cerebellum tissues. The images were then segmented into four tissue types: grey matter (GM), white matter (WM) sulcal CSF and ventricles (VN). These segmented images were registered to the common brain atlas (Kabani et al., 1998) using high dimensional image warping in order to create tissue density maps for GM, WM and VN. These maps are also called RAVENS maps. The RAVENS maps are the results of elastic registration of original brain regions to the standard template while preserving the original tissue volumes. Therefore, regional volumetric measurements and comparisons are performed via measurements and comparisons of the respective RAVENS maps. For example, patterns of GM atrophy in the temporal lobe are quantified by patterns of RAVENS decrease in the temporal lobe in the template space. The RAVENS approach has been extensively validated and applied to a variety of studies and is similar to the “modulated by the Jacobian VBM” approach. RAVENS maps were normalized for intracranial volume, in order to account for head size variations.

Statistical analysis and pattern classification

The normalized RAVENS maps were smoothed using 8mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) Gaussian smoothing kernel. For measuring the rate of progression of atrophy, “beta” maps were created by applying voxel-wise linear regression to RAVENS maps of subjects with at least two follow-up scans. The group analyses were done on baseline RAVENS maps as well as on beta maps. To display significantly different regions between two MCI groups, voxel-wise t-tests were performed using SPM software (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm5).

With the aim to provide abnormality scores for individual MCI subjects, we utilized a high dimensional pattern classification method (Fan et al., 2007). This method looks for the combination of brain regions, which can form a unique pattern that maximally differentiates between two groups. This classifier was trained in (Fan et al., 2008a) on the AD and CN subjects; it provides an output that tends to be close to +1 for AD patients and ~1 for CN subjects. This classifier was applied to all (baseline and follow-up) scans of the MCI patients, yielding a SPARE-AD score for each scan. Positive SPARE-AD scores indicated more AD like characteristics and vice-versa.

RESULTS

Group Comparisons via Voxel-based Analysis

The voxel-by-voxel analysis between two MCI sub-groups showed significant reduction of GM and WM in MCI-C compared to MCI-NC, at baseline. The results are shown in Fig. 1. Several regions of relatively reduced volumes of GM in MCI-C compared to MCI-NC are evident (red/yellow colors), including the hippocampus, amygdala, and entorhinal cortex, much of the temporal lobe GM and the insular cortex (especially the superior temporal gyrus), posterior cingulate and precuneous, and orbitofrontal cortex. Regions of increased periventricular WM tissue that appears gray, likely due to more pronounced Leukoaraioisis in MCI-C, relative to MCI-NC, were also evident and are shown in Fig. 1(a, b) (blue colors), potentially indicating relatively more pronounced small-vessel disease in the former group. In agreement with this was the reduced WM in the periventricular frontal region which is shown in Fig. 1(c, d) (red/yellow colors). WM was also relatively reduced in MCI-C in the perihippocampal temporal lobe region. As discussed in the Methods section, we also looked at group differences of the “beta” maps, i.e. of the rate of longitudinal change of brain tissue. The only findings were in GM RAVENS maps, and are displayed in Fig. 1(e, f). The most
pronounced group difference in these beta maps was in the higher rate of periventricular Leukoaraiosis. Increased temporal cortical and anterior hippocampal atrophy was also measured.

**SPARE-AD scores**

The SPARE-AD scores of the AD and CN individuals were found to be in the expected range (mostly positive for the former and negative for the latter), therefore reconfirming the SPARE-AD as a marker of AD structural patterns. The average SPARE-AD scores were 0.69±0.50 and −0.80±0.43 for AD and CN groups, respectively, while they were 0.65±0.44 and 0.22±0.74 for MCI-C and MCI-NC. The histograms of the SPARE-AD scores of MCI-C and MCI-NC are shown in Fig. 2. Most MCI-C had positive scores, in fact their range of SPARE-AD values were indistinguishable from AD patients (t-test revealed no statistically significant differences), suggesting that significant atrophy has already occurred at MCI, for the people that are bound to convert to AD within the time-frame of this study. SPARE-AD scores of about 1/3 of the MCI-NC, however, were completely normal, indicating that a subgroup of MCI has normal brain structure, and that this subgroup doesn’t convert to AD in the time-frame of this study. However a majority of MCI-NC had sharply positive SPARE-AD scores, indicating significant atrophy similar to AD patients and to MCI-C. Voxel-based group comparison (images not shown) between the subgroup of MCI-NC with positive SPARE-AD scores and MCI-C showed a picture similar to the one of Fig. 1(a, b). Future follow-ups will determine whether these individuals convert or remain stable.

The MCI-NC patients seemed to comprise 3 groups: people with low scores (well into the negative range centered around −1), people with scores around 0 (borderline cases), and people with high scores (well into the positive range centered around +1). We examined the longitudinal trajectories of these three subgroups separately, by obtaining SPARE-AD scores of all follow-ups. We used the following three ranges to subdivide the MCI-NC: SPARE-AD scores > 0.5 (part 1), SPARE-AD scores between −0.5 and 0.5 (part 2), and SPARE-AD scores below −0.5 (part 3). The average baseline and follow-up SPARE-AD scores are shown in Fig. 3, along with the trajectory of the average SPARE-AD score of the MCI-C group.

**Integration of SPARE-AD and CSF in MCI**

We only had a complete set of SPARE-AD and CSF biomarker measurements for a subset of 120 MCI patients with follow-up. Fig. 4 jointly plots the CSF biomarkers data with SPARE-AD scores for MCI subjects. In order to evaluate the predictive value of combinations of imaging and CSF biomarkers, we used the weka software (http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/), with input that features the SPARE-AD and various CSF biomarkers. A linear support vector machine (SVM)(Vapnik, 1998) was used in a 5-fold cross-validation framework (20% of the data was left out, training was performed on the rest, and testing on the left-out patients; this procedure was repeated 5 times, with a different set of patients left out each time). The resulting classification accuracies and area under the curve (AUC) measures are shown in Table 3. For the SPARE-AD, we also repeated this experiment on all 239 patients (recall that we had CSF and SPARE-AD values for only a subset of 120 patients, whereas we had SPARE-AD values for all 239 patients).

In order to graphically show the joint value of SPARE-AD and CSF biomarkers, in Fig. 7 we plot the SPARE-AD against CSF tau and Aβ42. In the same plots we include two SVM classifiers determined for different levels of sensitivity (by varying the cost of misclassification of MCI-C relative to MCI-NC, one can create many such SVM separating lines, each of which corresponds to a different point on the ROC).
Discussion

We present a study here of 239 MCI patients from the ADNI cohort. Pattern analysis and classification were used to 1) examine the spatial distribution of atrophy and small vessel disease; 2) to derive a classification score, the SPARE-AD, whose predictive value at baseline was measured relative to future clinical progression. We first summarize and discuss the main findings of this study:

Spatial patterns of atrophy

The spatial pattern of structural differences between MCI-C and MCI-NC were quite extensive, and included temporal lobe GM and WM, posterior cingulate and precuneous, insula, and periventricular abnormal WM (presumably due to small vessel disease). Moreover, a somewhat surprising finding was that the most pronounced difference in rate of structural change was the faster progression of gray regions around the ventricles, which are hypothesized to reflect small vessel disease. Faster medial and inferior temporal lobe atrophy was also measured in MCI-C, but was far less pronounced. These findings emphasize the complexity and spatial extent of the patterns of brain atrophy that characterize brain structure in MCI and that, together with advanced pattern analysis and recognition methods, are likely to provide powerful imaging markers for diagnosis, as well as for prediction and quantification of disease progression. Moreover, these findings could potentially emphasize the importance of cerebrovascular disease, as well as its rate of change, in predicting future clinical progression. Although cerebrovascular disease has been shown to be a co-morbid pathology to AD patients that contributes to dementia(Schneider et al., 2004), it has not received as much attention in the field of AD compared to hippocampal and temporal lobe atrophy. Unfortunately, the ADNI protocol doesn’t include FLAIR images, which usually provide better tissue contrast for measurement of vascular lesions. However, the T1-based measurements used in this study, in conjunction with the voxel-based analysis which localized the gray tissue increases around the ventricles, highlight the need for more in-depth investigations of the role of Leukoaraiosis and cerebrovascular disease in AD.

Predictive value of SPARE-AD

The SPARE-AD score, which is derived from high-dimensional pattern classification algorithms, was found to have very good sensitivity, in that almost all MCI-C patients had positive or near-positive scores at baseline. Not unexpectedly, specificity was limited. This is largely due to the short follow-up of this study, which is a limitation of the current study that we anticipate to address in future analyses. Since MCI patients convert to AD at a rate of approximately 15% annually, it is anticipated that many MCI-NC will convert to AD in the near future. Although future studies with longer follow-up times will refine our estimates of specificity, our results indicated that positive SPARE-AD scores in MCI-NC were associated with faster MMSE decline. This was especially evident in the Part-1 subgroup of MCI-NC, i.e. the subgroup with the highest baseline SPARE-AD scores, which showed an MMSE change around −0.9 annually. In contrast, the other two parts of the MCI-NC group showed significantly slower cognitive decline. Although average MMSE decline rates for MCI-NC part-1 and MCI-NC part-2/3 were markedly different, there was no statistical significance, largely due to their broad range (see Table 2). Importantly, although Part-2 and Part-3 of the MCI-NC group showed relatively comparable rates of MMSE decline, the former showed notably more rapid increase of the SPARE-AD score. This implies that a mid-range baseline SPARE-AD score predicts faster future atrophy, yet not very different cognitive decline compared to the low baseline SPARE-AD score group (Part 3). However, it is also important to emphasize that the baseline MMSE scores of Part-3 were considerably higher than those of Part 2 (and of the remaining groups). One possible hypothesis would
then be that, if during earlier years prior to this study Part-2 and Part-3 had declined at similar rates to the rates measured herein, a period several years of this differential decline ($-0.3$ vs. $-0.25$) would be necessary to build up to the significant MMSE differences at baseline ($26.71$ vs. $28.67$). Recent results from a longitudinal study of normal aging showed faster but gradual increase of the SPARE-AD score in individuals that converted from cognitively normal to MCI (Davatzikos et al., 2009). These results suggest that gradual brain changes over long periods might eventually lead to clinical progression to MCI. Imaging biomarkers that capture these patterns of brain change are therefore likely to provide useful tools for very early diagnosis, but also for quantifying treatment effects early.

Integration of SPARE-AD and CSF biomarkers

The CSF biomarkers showed comparable, yet slightly lower predictive value, compared to SPARE-AD. In particular, $A\beta_{42}$ as well as the ratio of t-tau and p-tau over $A\beta_{42}$ showed very high sensitivity in predicting conversion to AD, but low specificity. The explanation is likely to be the same as the explanation for the performance of SPARE-AD: many of the MCI-NC are likely to become MCI-C in the near future while some also are likely to progress to dementia due to causes other than AD. The combination of CSF biomarkers and the SPARE-AD had some additive value, relative to each biomarker individually, although this relationship was not very strong. Longer follow up of these ADNI subject, including postmortem confirmation of their underlying neuropathology, is likely to clarify how these imaging and chemical biomarkers relate to underlying disease processes as well as the potential resilience of individuals to different burdens of neurodegenerative disease. Our results are in general agreement with previous studies using analogous models (Vemuri et al., 2009). In addition to using a different methodology, which was trained on clinical AD patients instead of autopsy-defined AD and used different feature selection methods, the current study also reports sensitivity, specificity and classification accuracy for individuals, as opposed to the study in (Vemuri et al., 2009) which used linear statistical models to investigate the general relationship between the STAND and CSF scores, and conversion to AD. Although sensitivity and specificity are not reported in (Vemuri et al., 2009), the sensitivity of the SPARE-AD score suggests that this index is clinically useful for identifying MCI-C. Future follow-up studies will further elucidate the longer-term clinical outcome of the MCI-NC.

It is important to note that the SPARE-AD score tends to saturate at around $+1$. In other words, further increase in atrophy of individuals with a score $+1$ will no longer change their scores significantly. Put differently, if an individual has a full-blown pattern of atrophy seen in AD patients, his/her score is around $+1$, regardless of even higher levels of atrophy that he/she might develop. This is by construction of the SPARE-AD and increases our ability to better examine the range of patterns between normal and AD, which is the range that is clinically most interesting. Accordingly, the MCI-NC individuals in the Part 1 subgroup are likely to lose additional brain tissue in the future, however, at this point their brains already have the full pattern of atrophy seen in AD patients. Their rapid change of MMSE score, coupled with the already significantly reduced baseline MMSE scores, indicate that these individuals are likely to soon convert to AD.

Role of White matter

Although the majority of the findings related to GM and periventricular Leukoaraiosis, there was quite pronounced atrophy of WM surrounding the hippocampus and other temporal lobe structures. This indicates that more careful evaluations of WM integrity in early AD might further improve our ability to identify MCI individuals likely to convert to AD. Diffusion tensor imaging, as well as HARDI, are promising imaging protocols for evaluation of WM structure.
The majority of MCI-NC had positive SPARE-AD scores. Comparison of these individuals with MCI-C and with AD patients showed virtually no structural differences. This has important implications. In particular, it indicates that most MCI individuals have fully developed brain atrophy, even though they don't convert to AD in the timeframe of this study. These individuals are therefore less likely to enjoy long-term benefits from potential treatments, as would be individuals at earlier stages of AD. Treatment trials therefore may be far more effective on cognitively normal elderly, provided that biomarkers such as the ones examined herein are proven to identify normal individuals likely to progress to MCI in the near future. Some recent studies have suggested that early changes of the SPARE-AD scores and other morphological measurements, as well as CSF biomarkers, in cognitively normal elderly are good predictors of future progression to MCI (Davatzikos et al., 2008, epub 2006; Davatzikos et al., 2009; Driscoll et al., 2009; Fagan et al., 2009).
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Fig. 1.
Maps of the t-statistics showing differences between MCI-C and MCI-NC. (a) and (b) show significantly more GM in MCI-NC relative to MCI-C (red/yellow), and areas of relatively increased periventricular WM tissue that appears gray in T1 images, likely due to Leukoaraiosis, in MCI-C relatively to MCI-NC (blue). (c) and (d) show regions of relatively reduced WM in MCI-C relative to MCI-NC (red/yellow). Temporal, prefrontal and orbitofrontal reduced WM are evident, along with periventricular loss likely due to Leukoaraiosis, and with white matter in the vicinity of the precuneous. (e) and (f) show the difference in rate of GM change over time (“beta” maps) between MCI-C and MCI-NC. Red/yellow reflects relatively more rapidly increasing gray-looking tissue in MCI-C, likely due to progression of Leukoaraiosis. Regions of relatively higher loss of GM tissue in MCI-C are shown in blue, reflecting higher rate of atrophy in MCI-C. Images are in radiology convention. T-maps were thresholded at the p=0.05 level.
Fig. 2.
The histograms of baseline SPARE-AD scores for MCI-C (left) and MCI-NC (right).
Fig. 3.
Trajectories of average SPARE-AD scores for MCI-C and sub-groups of MCI-NC. Scan #3 is on the average 12 months after Scan #1.
Fig. 4.
Scatterplots of SPARE-AD against CSF markers Aβ42 and t-tau. The two oblique lines represent the SVM classifiers achieving two different levels of sensitivity, i.e. correct classification of MCI-C: ~82% (dotted line) and ~92% (solid line).
### Table 1
Number of subjects, average age, sex, average baseline MMSE scores and APOE status for AD, CN, MCI-C and MCI-NC groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>MCI-C</th>
<th>MCI-NC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of subjects</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Age</td>
<td>77.4±7.10</td>
<td>75.2±5.40</td>
<td>76.9±6.88</td>
<td>74.5±7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male/Female)</td>
<td>23M, 31F</td>
<td>33 M, 30 F</td>
<td>39 M, 30 F</td>
<td>103 M, 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. MMSE</td>
<td>23.2±2.10</td>
<td>29.2±0.98</td>
<td>25.8±2.18</td>
<td>27.1±1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage having 1 and 2 APOE4 alleles, respectively</td>
<td>48%, 22%</td>
<td>27%, 5%</td>
<td>46%, 16%</td>
<td>38%, 11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Average values of SPARE-AD, age, baseline MMSE and rate of change of MMSE along with APOE status for MCI-C and three sub-groups of MCI-NC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average SPARE-AD</th>
<th>Average Age</th>
<th>Average baseline MMSE scores</th>
<th>Average rate of change of MMSE per year</th>
<th>Percentage having 1 and 2 APOE4 alleles, respectively</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCI-C</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>77.5±8.51</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>−2.0±2.32</td>
<td>44%, 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI-NC part 1 (SPARE-AD &gt; 0.5)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>75.6±6.30</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>−0.9±2.19</td>
<td>48%, 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI-NC part 2 (−0.5 &lt; SPARE-AD &lt; 0.5)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>73.0±6.48</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>−0.3±1.60</td>
<td>46%, 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI-NC part 3 (SPARE-AD &lt; −0.5)</td>
<td>−0.90</td>
<td>68.5±8.84</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>−0.3±1.53</td>
<td>15%, 15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Classification of MCI-C vs. MCI-NC

Sensitivity is the proportion of MCI-C correctly classified, and specificity is the proportion of MCI-NC correctly classified, based on their baseline scores. Parentheses show the predictive value of the SPARE-AD score alone, when evaluated on the entire sample of 239 MCI patients; the rest of the numbers are from a subset of 120, for which both CSF and SPARE-AD values were available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Classification rate (%)</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPARE-AD</td>
<td>94.7(89.8)</td>
<td>37.8(37.0)</td>
<td>55.8(52.3)</td>
<td>0.734(0.660)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARE-AD &amp; t-tau</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARE-AD &amp; Aβ42</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARE-AD &amp; t-tau &amp; Aβ42</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARE-AD &amp; t-tau/Aβ42</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARE-AD &amp; p-tau</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARE-AD &amp; p-tau/Aβ42</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-tau</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>61.0.</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR-TAA model</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-tau/Aβ42</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-tau181P</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-tau181P/Aβ42</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-tau &amp; Aβ42</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aβ42</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>